

## REMARKS

The Board reversed the art rejections which were the basis of the Appeal, and so this case would be in immediate condition for allowance, but for the fact that the Board entered a new ground of rejection saying the claims 1-22, 24-31 and 33 were not supported by an adequate written description and thus, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. In particular, the Board said that the recitation of printing selected pages on an RGB printer could not be found in the originally filed specification, claims or drawings.

This amendment deletes from the claims the references to selecting pages of interest from the electronic catalog and printing the selected pages on an RGB desktop printer to overcome the rejection made by the Board. The Board Decision reversing the art rejections did not depend upon the claims' inclusion of the RGB printer to provide distinction over the prior art (see Board Decision pages 7-9). Thus, the amendment merely makes a correction to remove the basis for the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, and does not alter the basis of the Board's Decision reversing the art rejections made below. Accordingly, this application is now in condition for allowance and the same is earnestly solicited. Should the examiner have any small matters requiring resolution, he is encouraged to telephone the undersigned for expeditious handling.

Respectfully submitted,



Howard A. MacCord, Jr.  
Registration No. 28,639  
MacCord Mason, PLLC  
P.O. Box 2974  
Greensboro, NC 27402  
(336) 273-4422

Date: January 18, 2008  
File No.: 8012-001